

LOCAL MISCELLANY.

MONEY THROWN AWAY IN THE STREETS.

since the Controller acknowledged the receipt of the requisition, and he had made no move to have the stock issued or the men paid. The delegation then left, having been assured by the Commissioner that he would do everything in his power to have their money paid.

TRUMPH OVER THE GAS MONOPOLY.
Under an arrangement with Commissioner Van Nostrand of the Department of Public Works, and at his earnest request, all the gas companies furnishing gas for public lamps have at last sent in their bills for lighting the public lamps for the year 1872, at the reduced rates of \$42.50 per annum for each lamp from January 1 to July 31, and \$29 per annum from and after August 1, 1872, when the government tax on gas had ceased. This makes a reduction of \$15.00 in the cost of gas for each lamp, as compared with the year 1871, the bills for which are still unpaid and are before the courts for adjudication.

THE HARBOR MASTERS EXONERATED.
CAPT. SEAMAN DISMISSES THE COMPLAINTS AGAINST FROST AND JOHNSON.
The complaints against Harbor Masters Frost and Johnson have been dismissed by Capt. Seaman, and the testimony with the decision was sent yesterday to Gov. Dix for his consideration. An abstract of the testimony will be found below:

The stevedore, Seeley, said that he willfully violated the orders of Capt. Frost in disposing of barrels, and told Capt. Winchester, who preferred the charges, that he would be put at Pier No. 1517 he would grant the towing. Winchester did this for a consideration, but not for a pecuniary one. The witness also stated that the towing

was done by Capt. Jacob Hennous, of Seaman said "he did not know that Capt. Jake's boat was known as the Harbor Masters' boat, or of any other boat so named, though it was so under the old regime," Seaman then asked if he had given away the towing of vessels that came in, replied, "I can't tell."

D. R. Cornell, Secretary of the Shipowners' Association, was next examined as to the reputation of Capt. Frost among the merchants. Capt. Jacob Hennous, the tow-boat agent, testified that Seelye introduced him to Capt. J. H. Winchester. In reply to Capt. Tucker's question whether he had ever made any bargain with Mr. Frost or any other harbor master to do the towing, he said, "No sir, not with any of the present Board of Harbor Masters."

Harbor Master

The basis of the complaint against Harlor and Johnson was contained in a letter to Capt. J. H. Johnson, dated May 19, 1933, from J. H. Gubb, Jr., of the firm of Gubb & Co., Inc., 100 N. Dutch & 8th, marked "Exhibit B." Alfred Dutch, ex, on examination, refused to make oath to it as true, but made the following affidavit:

"Some time in the latter part of May, 1933, I accidentally came in conversation with him regarding towage, he made the following statement: 'I go out every day or as often as I wanted a vessel, and didn't care a d—d for anybody.' He also said he would kill any tow-boat man who did not do as he wanted."

Alfred Dutch testified that he had no direct proof that Harlor and Johnson was associated with Messrs. Marston & Cray in the towing business, and was unwilling to swear or bring proof that Capt. Johnson had interested himself in tow-boats. A number of other witnesses were examined, but nothing was elicited which would substantiate the charges against Harlor and Johnson. The charges against Captain Johnson and denied the charges against

hins. Petitions in behalf of Harbor Masters Frost and Johnson, signed by a number of the shipbuilders of Southport, were sent to Gov. Stannard, but not used at the investigation or sent to the Governor.

THE DEAN RICHMOND COLLISION.

The decision as to the causes of the recent collision on the North River between the steamer Dean Richmond and the ferry-boat John Darcey has been reached and is now in the hands of Special Inspector Low. The collision occurred at Pier No. 4, N. Y. C., on the morning of Nov. 10, 1900, when the board of the Richmond was struck by several passengers on the ferry-boat. The collision was not fatal, and both vessels were somewhat damaged. The investigation was conducted by Inspectors Simonsen and Matthews, and full testimony on both sides was taken. The substance of the decision just rendered is as follows:

Upon a full and careful examination and consideration of all the evidence in the case, we have concluded that a misunderstanding between the pilots of the two boats as to the movements contemplated by each rendered the collision unavoidable. Both vessels had been duly in-

spected, were properly armored and equipped, and were in all respects in strict compliance with the requirements of the law.

The delay in opening the investigation was caused by the lack of a legally constituted Board.

THE COURTS.

THE HAVANA BANK ROBBERY.

A FRANK ADMISSION OF THE ACT.

The Spaniards, Antonio Quero Y. Alvarez and Enrique Casero, arrested on the arrival of the steamship City of Mexico, for the robbery of \$50,000 in gold and bills of exchange from the Commercial Bank of Havana, were taken from the First Police Precinct in Brooklyn yesterday morning, and arraigned for examination before Judge McGuire, on whose warrant they had been taken into custody. Assistant Attorney General Cullen appeared for the prosecution, James B. Craig for the defense.

Early last Monday, Margaret of the Spanish

Judge McCue informed the men, through the interpreter, that they were under arrest upon a charge of having stolen gold coin and bills of exchange, the property of the Commercial Bank of Havana, of the value of \$70,000. Their answer was that they could not deny the charge. The judge then informed them that

they could have an examination at once or waive it and await the action of the Grand Jury. Caceres thought that it would be shorter to have his case settled at once, but Judge McCrene decided that they had better waive examination and go to jail. The prisoners did not relish this disposal of their cases, and inquired anxiously if they could not be bailed or kept in a hotel. Gen. Craig informed the Court that witnesses from Havana would be present on Friday. The interpreter

repeated that the prisoners would not deny the robbery, to which Judge McCue answered that he could only take the plea of guilty but could not act on it. They would have to wait for the Grand Jury. The prisoners then besought permission to be kept with their "wives," and were very anxious that they should not be sent back to Spain or Cuba. Alvarez was then formally examined, and stated that he was 39 years of age, and was born in Granada, Spain; that he was recently a resident of Havana, and a merchant. He did not think that

had been committed a robbery. It was, he said, simply an attempt to get the certificates sold. The man, however, Craig explained that the prisoner had taken 193 certificates, from which they realized \$40,000 by selling them at a discount of 30 per cent. Caceres was next examined, and stated that he was aged 28, and had been born in Seattle; had been employed by the bank for 10 years, and had been sent to jail to wit with robbery was to act as broker for Alvarez, who had the certificates for sale. The counsel for the bank informed the jury that he had no objection to the fact that he gave the certificates to Caceres, who sold them to brokers in Havana. These brokers would take the certificates to the bank to see if they were genuine, and Alvarez would then be paid \$2,000; then the brokers would pay Caceres for them. Alvarez stated that the women had nothing to do with the robbery, and that he had never been in New York; that the certificates were in New York, and will be taken care of by the Spanish Consul. Alvarez and Caceres were then committed to the Raymond-st. Jail.

THE WATER-METER CLAIMS.

ACRIMONIOUS DEBATE BETWEEN COUNSEL.

James C. Carter, counsel for the Controller, was to have moved, yesterday, in the Supreme Court, to quash the mandamus granted by Justice Fancher in the suit of Novaro against the City Controller for over \$200,000, payment for water-meters supplied to the Department of Public Works; but on the case being called, the counsel informed Justice Pratt that his last brief and returns to the writ had not been recovered, and he was unable to go on without a postponement. Abraham R. Lawrence, who was associated with Mr. Vanderpool as counsel for the Relator, addressing the Court, commented bitterly on the delays introduced. He stated that the

motion for a mandamus was made in February, and was postponed again and again on the application of the Controller's counsel, until at last in June, Judge Paenher directed a writ to issue, and the return to be made June 22. The writ was issued, and was made by Judge Paenher.

But an *ex parte* order was made by Judge Pratt, granting, extending the time into July. Ever since February they have been trying to get the facts on which he relies from the Controller; and now his counsel suggests a motion to quash the writ. Surely the gentleman could argue that without a brief? He certainly would be able to prepare one in an hour. It was trifling with the relator and the Court to make these numerous applications. In conclusion, counsel generously offered to lend a brief and any other papers he could spare to his learned brother.

Mr. Carter—This is my motion, and it involves the preparation of a long brief.

Judge Pratt—Why cannot all the defenses be made on the return?

Mr. Carter—So they can; but I thought it was so clear that a mandamus did not lie, that it was useless to enter upon the trial of questions of fact. One of the most able